

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-6802

CHARLES PATRICK MCMANUS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

ARLINGTON COUNTY, VIRGINIA, Arlington County in the State of Virginia; SHERIFF FAUST, Sheriff of Arlington County Jail at Arlington; DAVID M. BOGARD, Director of Corrections at the Arlington County Jail; CAPTAIN PINSON, Captain of the Guard at Arlington County Jail; CAPTAIN SITES, Captain of the Guard at Arlington County Jail; JOHN DOE, Officer, Member of the Hearing Committee at the Arlington County Jail; JOHN DOE, Civilian member of the Hearing Committee at the Arlington County Jail; CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SYSTEMS, Medical Contractor at the Arlington County Jail; LARRY LINTON, Director of Correctional Medical Systems at Arlington County Jail; JOHN DOE, Doctor at the Arlington County Jail; JANE DOE, Nurse at the Arlington County Jail; JOHN DOE, Head of Food Service at the Arlington County Jail; JOHN DOE, Food Service Manager at the Arlington County Jail; JOHN DOE, Dentist at the Arlington County Jail, all of the defendants are being sued in their official and individual capacities,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Henry C. Morgan, Jr., District Judge. (CA-95-1004-2)

Submitted: July 25, 1996

Decided: August 12, 1996

Before LUTTIG and MOTZ, Circuit Judges, and PHILLIPS, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Charles Patrick McManus, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's order dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (1988) complaint. The district court assessed a filing fee in accordance with Evans v. Croom, 650 F.2d 521 (4th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 454 U.S. 1153 (1982), and dismissed the case without prejudice when Appellant failed to comply with the fee order. Finding no abuse of discretion, we affirm the district court's order. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED